

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,741	10/17/2003	Joseph Wayne Norton	101610.55984US	8292
23911 CROWELL &	7590 11/21/2007 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			SWEARINGEN, JEFFREY R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/686,741	NORTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey R. Swearingen	2145	

	Jerrey R. Swearingen	2145				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1139(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SN, (6) MONTHS from the making date of the communication. The communication of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication. Failure to reply within the set or endended period for reply with by that the communication to become ARMONDED (58 USC, § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any enamed partner three alignments. See 37 CFR 174(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se	<u>ptember 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowant 			e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.						
Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	ΓO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicat	ion No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receiv	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(a)						
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summers	(PTO.413)				

- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UZ) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: __

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

1. This case has been assigned to a new examiner.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

 The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 5. Claims 1, 10, 11, 13, 21, 30, 31, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. No tangible result is created by any functions in claims 1, 10, 11, 13, 21, 30, 31, and 33. For example, claim 1 is a method involving calculating a plurality of destination modes, but nothing is ever done with the result of said calculation. The calculation is never used, stored, or transformed in any manner. See MPEP 2106. The same can be said for a hash table or the "expiring" of something. "Expiring" can take place without any transformation or storage of data.
- 6. Clams 21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 21-33 are embodied on a "machine readable medium". Claims 21-33 should be embodied on a "computer readable medium".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2145

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boyle et al. (US 6,138,158).

9. In regard to claim 1, Boyle disclosed:

calculating a plurality of destination nodes based on a subscriber identifier and a plurality of addressing functions, each addressing function corresponding to a topology of the network at a particular moment in time. Column 8, lines 1-13

10. In regard to claim 2, Boyle disclosed:

receiving a message retrieval request at an initial retrieval node of the network, the message retrieval request including the subscriber identifier, and column 14, lines 21-37 querying the calculated plurality of destination nodes for a message. Column 14, lines 21-37

11. In regard to claim 3, Boyle disclosed:

receiving the message from one of the calculated plurality of destination nodes; and column 14. lines 29-38

forwarding the message toward an originator of the message retrieval request. Column 14, lines 29-38

In regard to claim 4, Boyle disclosed:

the originator of the message retrieval request is a wireless handset, the message being at least one of a short messaging service message and a mail digest. Column 12, lines 54-67

In regard to claim 5, Boyle disclosed:

the originator of the message retrieval request is a wireless handset, the message being a long messaging service message. Column 12, lines 54-67

In regard to claim 6, Boyle disclosed:

receiving a plurality of messages from the calculated plurality of destination nodes; and column 14, lines 29-39

Art Unit: 2145

forwarding the plurality of messages toward the originator of the message retrieval request. Column 14. lines 29-38

15. In regard to claim 7, Boyle disclosed:

receiving the message at an initial storage node, the message including the subscriber identifier: column 7. lines 13-39

calculating an actual destination node based on the subscriber identifier and a current addressing function corresponding to a current topology of the network; and column 11, line 38 – column 12, line 14

sending the message to the actual destination node for storage, the calculated plurality of destination nodes including the actual destination node and the plurality of addressing functions including the current addressing function. Column 14, lines 29-38

In regard to claim 8, Boyle disclosed:

storing the message to an internal queue of the initial storage node; and column 12, lines 15-37

removing the message from the internal queue if a confirmation of receipt is received from the actual destination node. Column 12, lines 15-43

17. In regard to claim 9, Boyle disclosed:

sending a message waiting indicator message toward a device associated with the subscriber identifier. Column 12, lines 15-22

18. In regard to claim 10, Boyle disclosed:

expiring one or more of the plurality of addressing functions based on a message validity period. Column 16, lines 11-13

In regard to claim 11. Boyle disclosed:

expiring one or more of the plurality of addressing functions for an expired destination node based on a local expiration signal from the expired destination node. Column 16, lines 11-

In regard to claim 12, Boyle disclosed:

Art Unit: 2145

applying a time stamp to each of the plurality of addressing functions; and column 12, line 3 – notification sequence number

delivering each of the plurality of addressing functions to the plurality of destination nodes before activation. Column 13, lines 57-67

21. In regard to claim 13, Boyle disclosed:

the addressing functions are hash functions. Column 16, lines 55-65

- 22. Claim 14 has substantially the same limitations as claims 1-3, 7-9, and 13.
- 23. Claim 15 has substantially the same limitations as claim 4.
- 24. Claim 16 has substantially the same limitations as claim 5.
- 25. Claim 17 has substantially the same limitations as claim 6.
- 26. Claim 18 has substantially the same limitations as claim 10.
- 27. Claim 19 has substantially the same limitations as claim 11.
- 28. Claim 20 has substantially the same limitations as claim 12.
- 29. Claims 21-33 are substantially the same as claims 1-13.
- 30. In regard to claim 34, Boyle disclosed:

receiving, by a first node that stores messages, a message retrieval request; column 14, lines 21-37

calculating, by the first node using a subscriber identifier and a first addressing function, a second node that stores messages; column 14, lines 21-37

calculating, by the first node using the subscriber identifier and a second addressing function, a third node that stores messages; and column 14, lines 21-37

forwarding, by the first node, the message retrieval request to the second and third nodes. Column 14. lines 29-38

31. In regard to claim 35, Boyle disclosed:

the first and second addressing functions correspond to a topology of the network at different moments in time. Column 14, lines 21-37 – comparing the device ID in the request with the device ID in the device ID list

Art Unit: 2145

In regard to claim 36. Boyle disclosed:

the first and second addressing functions are hash functions, and the first and second addressing functions each have a different expiration time. Column 16, lines 55-65; column 12, line 3

Conclusion

33. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kotola et al. US 6,321,257 B1

Miller et al. US 6,421,707 B1

Collins et al. US 6,424,828 B1

Rao, Chung-Hwa et al. "iMobile: A Proxy-Based Platform for Mobile Services." Proceedings of

the first workshop on Wireless mobile internet. ACM Press. July 2001. 3-10.

Barber, Declan. "GlobalCom: A Unified Messaging System using Synchronous and

Asynchronous Forms." Principles and Practice of Programming in Java 2002. June 2002.

National University of Ireland. 141-144.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Swearingen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jason Cardone can be reached on 571-272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this
application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2145

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jason D Cardone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145

JRS